# SWISH

## *From Bengaluru*
## *To a Mumbai launch*

**Shubh Desai | shubh_desai@ug29.mesaschool.co | Linkedin**

*A GTM teardown and a launch plan*

## The question I wanted to answer

**What did Swish get right when it launched in Bengaluru, which parts of that playbook look repeatable, and what would I actually test before taking it to Mumbai?**

> **MY SHORT ANSWER**
>
> Copy the logic, not the folklore. Swish appears to have built around density, speed and repeat occasions. For Mumbai, I would start with a small Powai test around the 4–6 pm desk-snack moment, but I would not call that a proven opportunity until customer demand and kitchen economics are tested.

This is a secondary-research project. I have not interviewed customers, visited kitchens, or accessed Swish's internal data. Reported facts, company claims and my own hypotheses are separated throughout.

## How to read this

I have tried to keep one line clear throughout: what we know, what I think it means, and what I would test next. That matters because a confident story is easy to write after a company grows. It is harder, and more useful, to show which decisions are supported by evidence.

| | |
|---|---|
| **Reported** | Published by a media outlet. This is not the same as audited data. |
| **Company claim** | Shared by Swish or its founders. Useful, but not independently verified here. |
| **My hypothesis** | A reasonable interpretation or proposal that still needs testing. |
| **Unverified** | I could not find reliable public evidence for it in this research. |

### The flow of the document


1 **The business problem and why Swish's model matters**

2 **Reconstructing the Bengaluru go-to-market**

3 **What I would copy, adapt, and leave behind**

4 **Why Mumbai is interesting, and why it is not a guaranteed win**

5 **The five-box GTM canvas**

6 **Launch experiments, unit economics and scale gates**

7 **What I would verify before spending real money**



*By Shubh Desai | shubh_desai@ug29.mesaschool.co*

## 1 Start with the problem, not the app

The problem Swish is trying to solve is small but frequent: I want something to eat now, not in 40 minutes. That could be chai during a rain shower, a snack between meetings, or a quick meal when leaving the desk is inconvenient. The point is not just faster delivery. It is making an everyday food occasion feel easy enough to order online.

The deeper product decision is operational. Swish controls its kitchens, menu, sourcing, technology and riders. That gives it more control over preparation time than a marketplace that waits for an independent restaurant to accept and cook an order. The trade-off is that Swish also takes on food waste, kitchen costs and rider utilisation risk.

| WHAT SWISH CONTROLS | WHY IT HELPS | WHAT IT MAKES HARDER |
|---|---|---|
| **Small kitchens near demand** | Shorter delivery radius and more control over speed | Each kitchen needs enough local orders to cover fixed costs |
| **A controlled menu** | Faster prep and more predictable operations | Too narrow a menu can limit occasions and repeat |
| **Its own food and delivery experience** | More control over quality and customer experience | It carries food, staffing, wastage and delivery risk |

MY READ

**My read: density is not just an expansion tactic. It is probably the central economic condition for the whole model. A kitchen that is busy enough can make fast delivery feel normal. A kitchen with scattered orders may struggle even if customers like the product.**

## 2 Reconstructing the Bengaluru GTM

**2024**
Launch year, starting in HSR Layout with a compact menu

**~10 min**
Fresh food delivery promise, from a short radius and fast prep

**₹250–300**
Reported early AOV (later around ₹200–250)

**~100/day**
Orders a kitchen needed for viable economics (founders' statement)

### The sequence

| STAGE | WHAT THE RESEARCH SAYS | WHAT I TAKE FROM IT |
|---|---|---|
| **Start narrow** | Swish launched in HSR Layout in 2024 with a compact menu of snacks, beverages and quick bites. | One neighbourhood makes it possible to learn without spreading operations too thin. |
| **Make the promise concrete** | The proposition was fresh food in around 10 minutes, supported by a short radius and fast prep. | Speed only works as positioning if the operating model can deliver it. |

*By Shubh Desai | shubh_desai@ug29.mesaschool.co*

| STAGE | WHAT THE RESEARCH SAYS | WHAT I TAKE FROM IT |
|---|---|---|
| **Expand next door** | Expansion moved into nearby Bengaluru neighbourhoods such as Bellandur, Koramangala and Sarjapur. | Contiguous expansion can reuse local awareness and operational learning. |
| **Add more occasions** | The menu grew and Swish reported growth from tea-time and snacks into breakfast, lunch and dinner. | Once a customer trusts the service, the same customer can become valuable at more moments of the day. |
| **Take the model elsewhere** | Gurugram launched in April 2026; by September, Swish reported operating across five cities. | The model is being repeated, but public data does not prove every new market performs equally well. |

## The Bengaluru canvas, reconstructed

| BOX | MY RECONSTRUCTION |
|---|---|
| **Wedge** | Spontaneous cravings and tea-time snacks delivered quickly, starting in HSR Layout. |
| **Target group** | Young professionals, roughly 20–35, in dense tech and startup neighbourhoods, within a short delivery radius. |
| **Exclusions** | I infer that large group orders, planned family meals, low-density areas and people seeking restaurant-specific variety were not the best initial fit. |
| **Channel mix** | Hyperlocal visibility, word of mouth, founder-led social content and in-catchment discovery are plausible. Detailed paid acquisition data is not public, so I would not present this as confirmed. |
| **Economics** | Reported AOV was around ₹250–300 in the early phase, later around ₹200–250. Founders said a kitchen needed roughly 100 orders/day for viable economics. These are reported figures, not audited unit economics. |
| **First growth loop** | First order → trust in speed → repeat in the same occasion → more occasions → colleagues notice and try it → more orders close to the kitchen → stronger density. |

THE PATTERN

**The important pattern is the sequence. Swish did not need to prove that every Indian meal should be ordered online on day one. It could start with one moment, make that moment reliable, and then try to earn more moments from the same customer.**

*By Shubh Desai | shubh_desai@ug29.mesaschool.co*

## 3 What I would copy, adapt, and not assume

### Copy the principles

- Start in a small, dense catchment instead of announcing a city-wide launch.
- Pick one repeatable occasion and make the experience dependable before expanding the menu.
- Use adjacent clusters so that operations and word of mouth can build on one another.
- Treat repeat orders and kitchen-level contribution as stronger evidence than app installs or launch-day buzz.

### Adapt for Mumbai

- Build workplace partnerships and in-building discovery into the launch rather than relying only on broad digital ads.
- Test the actual price customers will pay against canteens, cafés, tapris and existing delivery apps.
- Plan for monsoon conditions as both an occasion opportunity and a service-level risk.
- Localise the voice, but do not assume that a campaign which worked in Gurugram will work in Mumbai.

### One tactic I would not build the plan around

**UNVERIFIED**

I could not verify the story that Swish placed empty branded delivery bags in offices as a growth tactic. If it happened, I can see why it might work: a bag is visible social proof in a dense workplace. But until there is a credible primary source, it stays an unverified anecdote, not a fact or the foundation of the Mumbai plan.

I would test the underlying idea more cleanly: can visible, permission-based discovery inside an office generate incremental first orders? Sampling, a workplace notice, or an office-specific referral code can answer that without relying on a tactic we cannot substantiate.

*By Shubh Desai | shubh_desai@ug29.mesaschool.co*

## 4 Why Mumbai, and where I would start

Swish had named Mumbai as a future market, but I found no public evidence of a Mumbai launch as of 9 October 2026. That makes this a proposed launch plan, not a description of something Swish has already done.

There is a useful demand signal from Swiggy's DeskEats workplace-food initiative, which reported Mumbai as a leading city in its pilot. I would treat that as a reason to investigate office-led food occasions, not proof that Mumbai customers want Swish or will pay for ten-minute delivery.

| AREA | WHY IT COULD WORK | WHAT WORRIES ME | MY VIEW |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Powai** | Residential and office demand in a relatively contained hub; a plausible analogue to HSR. | Need to verify kitchen rent, density, travel times and willingness to pay. | Best first hypothesis to test. |
| **Andheri East** | Office clusters plus nearby housing; potentially a strong second cluster. | Congestion and geography may make a one-kilometre promise difficult. | Second, after Powai passes its gates. |
| **BKC** | Strong weekday office population and potential for workplace pilots. | High rent and weaker all-day/weekend utilisation could make a kitchen expensive. | Useful for office partnerships, not my first kitchen. |
| **Bandra West** | Affluent residents, cafés and media/creative workplaces. | Competition and cost; less obvious as a compact operational cluster. | Do not lead with it. |

### The first customer I would design for

> **A WORKING HYPOTHESIS, NOT A REAL INTERVIEW**
>
> Riya is 27, works in product at a company in Powai, lives nearby and orders food online a few times a week. At 5 pm she wants chai and a snack but cannot leave before a meeting. She will try Swish if it arrives quickly, tastes fresh and does not cost dramatically more than her usual option.

I would not treat this persona as customer research. It is a way to make the launch question specific enough to test. Before committing to a kitchen, I would speak to actual office workers and building managers and observe what they currently do at 4–6 pm.

*By Shubh Desai | shubh_desai@ug29.mesaschool.co*

## 5 The Mumbai GTM canvas

My proposed starting point is Powai, with a 4–6 pm desk-snack wedge. This is a hypothesis to validate, not a claim that Powai is already proven to be the best market.

| CANVAS BOX | DECISION | WHY I CHOSE IT | WHAT WOULD TEST IT |
|---|---|---|---|
| **1. Wedge** | Fresh snack plus chai/coffee for the 4–6 pm desk break, delivered in around 10 minutes. | It is frequent, easy to explain and close to Swish's original snack-led proposition. | Run a four-week pilot and track first-order conversion and seven-day repeat. |
| **2. Target group + exclusions** | Professionals aged roughly 22–32 who live or work within about 1 km of the test kitchen. Exclude large group orders, out-of-radius orders and occasions where lowest price matters most. | This focuses operations on people for whom speed is valuable and delivery is feasible. | Map orders by building and pincode; interview users and non-users; compare price sensitivity. |
| **3. Channel mix** | Workplace partnerships, permission-based in-building discovery and a referral offer tied to a second order. | These channels can reach multiple relevant people inside a serviceable radius. The incremental impact still needs proof. | Compare test buildings with matched holdout buildings. Track incremental first orders and repeat, not impressions. |
| **4. Economics** | Use ₹220–260 AOV and a contribution target of ₹35–45/order as initial planning assumptions. Keep CAC low enough for payback from repeat orders. | Swish's reported AOV provides context, but Mumbai costs and customer willingness to pay are unknown. | Build a real per-kitchen P&L with food, packaging, rider, labour, rent, wastage, discount and CAC. |
| **5. First growth loop** | Office discovery → first snack → reliable delivery → repeat → colleagues notice → referral → more orders per building → better kitchen utilisation. | It connects customer behaviour to the density the operating model likely needs. | Track repeat by building and channel, referral share, orders per kitchen per day and contribution. |

READ THIS BEFORE THE NUMBERS

**All launch choices and numerical thresholds below are proposals. They are not Swish's internal benchmarks and should be recalibrated after observing a real pilot.**

*By Shubh Desai | shubh_desai@ug29.mesaschool.co*

## 6 The economics: what has to be true

I would be careful not to make a neat spreadsheet look like proof. The following is only an illustration of how sensitive the model can be to kitchen density.

| PER ORDER | ILLUSTRATIVE ASSUMPTION |
|---|---|
| Average order value, net of GST | ₹230 |
| Food cost (32%) | −₹74 |
| Packaging (6%) | −₹14 |
| Rider cost in a dense 1 km zone | −₹30 |
| Payment and other variable costs (3%) | −₹7 |
| Kitchen labour and rent allocation at about 600 orders/day | −₹65 |
| **Illustrative contribution after allocated kitchen cost** | **about ₹40** |

**₹230**
Illustrative AOV, net of GST

**~₹40**
Illustrative contribution per order, after allocated kitchen cost

**~₹240**
Contribution from six orders in 90 days, before acquisition cost

**< ₹200**
CAC for a useful initial payback test

If a customer places six orders in the first 90 days, this model produces roughly ₹240 in contribution before acquisition cost. That would make a CAC below ₹200 a useful initial payback test, not a universal rule. If kitchen volume falls and the fixed cost allocated to each order rises, the contribution can disappear. Before scaling, I would replace every assumption with supplier quotes, rider data, actual wages and pilot order behaviour.

### Questions I would want answered before signing a lease

- What is the actual rent and fit-out cost for a suitable kitchen in Powai?
- How many orders can riders complete per hour during 4–6 pm and during heavy rain?
- What menu can be prepared in under four minutes without hurting quality?
- What share of nearby office workers already use canteens, tapris, cafés, tiffin or quick-commerce food?
- What proportion of customers reorder without a discount?
- What does contribution look like after wastage, refunds, discounts and customer acquisition?

*By Shubh Desai | shubh_desai@ug29.mesaschool.co*

## 7 The first experiments

| TEST | HOW I WOULD RUN IT | KEEP GOING IF... | CHANGE OR STOP IF... |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Snack wedge** | Four-week 4–6 pm pilot with a small snack-and-drink menu. | At least 35% of first-time tea-time customers repeat within seven days. | Below 20% repeat: test a lunch or different snack proposition. |
| **Office activation** | Ten test buildings and ten similar holdout buildings. | Incremental first orders per 100 employees are at least 2x holdout. | No meaningful lift: stop treating office activation as a core channel. |
| **Workplace partnerships** | Pilot with five offices or co-working spaces. | CAC at or below ₹200 and 30-day retention at least as good as organic users. | CAC above ₹300: change the offer or channel. |
| **Local digital ads** | Geo-target within about 1 km of the kitchen. | Cost per first order at or below ₹150 and repeat behaviour is healthy. | Above ₹250 or weak repeat: stop and reallocate. |
| **Office referral** | Invite colleagues; reward is unlocked on the second order. | At least 20% of new users are referred and repeat is at least organic level. | Code-sharing without real repeat: redesign or stop. |
| **Kitchen economics** | Review weekly P&L and service level by catchment. | Positive contribution after acquisition cost by week eight. | Still negative by week twelve: do not expand the cluster. |

> *These thresholds are deliberately explicit so the team can make a decision. They are not industry standards. I would use the first two weeks to establish a baseline and adjust thresholds if the starting assumptions prove unrealistic.*

### When I would expand

I would not open Andheri East just because the first launch gets attention. I would wait until Powai holds for around four consecutive weeks on five things:

1. Kitchen volume above its actual break-even level
2. At least 80% of orders under 15 minutes, including difficult weather
3. Healthy month-two retention
4. Positive contribution after acquisition cost
5. At least half of new customers coming organically or through referrals

*By Shubh Desai | shubh_desai@ug29.mesaschool.co*

## 8 What could make this plan wrong

| | |
|---|---|
| 01 | Powai may look similar to HSR on a map but have different food habits, rent or delivery friction. |
| 02 | DeskEats is a signal about workplace food, not proof of demand for Swish's specific product or speed promise. |
| 03 | Tapris, canteens and tiffin options may be cheaper and good enough for the same occasion. |
| 04 | The short delivery radius may be harder to maintain during Mumbai traffic and monsoon conditions. |
| 05 | The illustrative economics may be too optimistic once wastage, discounts, refunds and actual staffing are included. |
| 06 | The company's reported operating metrics are not audited here, and a growing total order count does not prove each city or kitchen is profitable. |

### What I would do next

> **BEFORE A LAUNCH DECISION**
>
> Before a launch decision, I would do a short primary-research sprint: interview 15–20 office workers across two Powai buildings and one comparison cluster, speak to building admins and local food operators, map current snack choices and prices, and get real kitchen and rider cost estimates. I would then update the canvas rather than defend the first draft out of pride.

## 9 Evidence register

I have separated reporting, company statements and my own inferences. The most important evidence gaps are customer willingness to pay in Mumbai, kitchen economics by micro-market, and whether office discovery creates incremental orders.


| | |
|---|---|
| 01 | **Inc42 — Can 10-minute startup Swish pull off what Zomato couldn't?**<br>https://inc42.com/?p=472601 |
| 02 | **Inc42 — Swish bags $2 Mn from Accel**<br>https://inc42.com/buzz/swish-bags-2-mn-from-accel-to-deliver-food-in-10-minutes |
| 03 | **Entrackr — Accel backs Swish**<br>https://entrackr.com/snippets/accel-backs-10-minute-food-delivey-startup-swish-7591573 |
| 04 | **TechCrunch — Swish raises $38M (March 2026)**<br>https://techcrunch.com/2026/03/23/bengaluru-food-startup-swish-raises-38m-in-its-third-round-in-18-months/ |
| 05 | **Inc42 — Swish raises $38 Mn; Swiggy shut Snacc**<br>https://inc42.com/?p=552895 |
| 06 | **Swish blog — Swish is now in Gurgaon (30 April 2026)**<br>https://justswish.in/blog/swish-now-in-gurgaon |
| 07 | **The Tribune — Swish expands to Gurugram**<br>https://www.tribuneindia.com/news/gurugram/bengalurus-popular-10-minute-food-delivery-service-swish-expands-to-gurugram/ |
| 08 | **Inc42 — Swish raises $24 Mn (September 2026)**<br>https://inc42.com/buzz/swish-raises-24-mn-to-expand-quick-food-delivery-network/ |


*By Shubh Desai | shubh_desai@ug29.mesaschool.co*

| | |
|---|---|
| 09 | **Franchise India — Swish raises $24 Mn; FY25 financials**<br>https://www.franchiseindia.com/insights/en/news/swish-raises-24-mn-to-scale-10-minute-food-delivery-business.59691 |
| 10 | **Outlook Business — Swish $24 Mn round**<br>https://www.outlookbusiness.com/corporate/swish-raises-24-mn-in-funding-round-led-by-bertelsmann-india-investments |
| 11 | **DealStreetAsia — Swish $24M led by Bertelsmann**<br>https://www.dealstreetasia.com/?p=494780 |
| 12 | **Swiggy — DeskEats launch**<br>https://www.swiggy.com/corporate/?p=11250 |
| 13 | **Verdict Food Service — Swiggy DeskEats**<br>https://www.verdictfoodservice.com/news/indias-swiggy-deskeats/ |
| 14 | **Agron Food Processing — DeskEats and Corporate Rewards**<br>https://agronfoodprocessing.com/swiggy-rolls-out-deskeats-to-feed-indias-hustling-office-crowd/ |


## My closing take

**If I had to summarise the whole plan in one sentence: Swish seems to have made speed work by designing the business around local density, so my Mumbai launch would be a test of whether one specific office-and-residential cluster can create repeat demand before I spend money trying to cover a city.**

I would rather have a small pilot that proves repeat, service level and contribution than a big launch that looks impressive for a week. That is the difference between copying a tactic and understanding the GTM behind it.

*By Shubh Desai | shubh_desai@ug29.mesaschool.co*